IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE P.R.RAMAN

FRIDAY, THE 2ND FEBRUARY 2007 / 13TH MAGHA 1928

RP.No. 112 of 2007(Y)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.19732/2006 Dated 15/01/2007

REVIEW PETITIONER/PETITIONER

K.CHANDRAN, S/O. KUNJUNNY NAIR,
PROPRIETOR, SURYA WINES, (LICENSE NO.21/2000,
FL 1-113/2000-2001, EXCISE RANGE,
ERNAKULAM.

BY ADV. SRI.V.GIRI
SRI.C.N.PRABHAKARAN
SRI.C.R.VINOD KUMAR
SRI.C.N.MOHANAN

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, REVENUE DEPARTMENT,
SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DISTRICT COLLECTOR,
CIVIL STATION, KAKKANAD, ERNAKULAM.

3. THE ASST. EXCISE COMMISSIONER,
ERNAKULAM.

4. THE CIRCLE INSPECTOR,
EXCISE RANGE OFFICE, ERNAKULAM.

5. THE DEPUTY TAHSILDAR,
REVENUE RECOVERY, KANAYANNUR TALUK.

6. THE VILLAGE OFFICER,
EDAPPALLY SOUTH VILLAGE.

7. THE SALES TAX OFFICER,
2ND CIRCLE, KALAMASSERY, PALARIVATTOM.

8. THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER (APPEALS),
ERNAKULAM.

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 02/02/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

P.R.RAMAN,J.

R.P.NO.112 OF 2007 in
W.P.(C).NO.19732 OF 2006

Dated this the 2nd day of February, 2007

O R D E R

This Review Petition is filed on the sole ground that this Court closed the writ petition, in view of the interim order already passed and that no further relief is called for. It is pointed that the interim order only deals with one of the reliefs sought for. As regards the other reliefs, no orders were passed as per the interim order. As such the closing of the writ petition without considering those reliefs is an error apparent on the face of the record.

2. Heard both sides.

3. It is true that this Court closed the writ petition mainly based on the interim order passed. But in view of the other prayers

made in the writ petition there is force in the contention of the review petitioner.

Review Petition is allowed.

Post the writ petition before the appropriate court as per roster.

P.R.RAMAN,
Judge.

kcv.